	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/795,776	JOHNSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	PONNOREAY PICH	2435
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>PONNOREAY PICH</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James E. Boice (reg. no. 44,545)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 May 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 35 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Ponnoreay Pich/ Examiner, Art Unit 2435		
	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature - if appropriate)

Application No. 10/795,776

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner noted that claim 35 was missing from the claim amendment submitted on 4/27/09. It was unclear if a page of claim was missing or if the claims were misnumbered. Mr, Boice confirmed that the claims were misnumbered and submitted an amendment on 5/26/09 to fix the typo in numbering.